Peripheral Venous Blood Gases, PpvO$_2$ & SpvO$_2$, Positively Correlate with Arterial Gases under Anaesthesia

Abstract
We report an interesting finding of highly correlating PpvO$_2$ and SpvO$_2$ under anaesthesia and during early recovery. We raise the attention to our unexpected and unexplained observation of high PpvO$_2$ under anaesthesia and possible mechanisms especially peripheral vasodilatation with well-conducted general anaesthesia. We guess that serious appraisal is necessary to define its actual weight and territorial distribution, as well as its life span. This would necessitate monitoring quite large number of patients and different accessible veins under different anaesthetics, including TIVA and regional anaesthetics, as well as various vasoactive agents.
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Arterio-venous oxygen difference, predictably, results from the characteristics of oxygen dynamics and kinetics during its transfer from arterial to venous sides of tissues through capillaries. As dissolved oxygen is taken up by tissue cells, partial pressure of oxygen drops, which allows oxygen molecules to depart from haemoglobin binding sites, according to the principle of cooperativity and characteristics of oxygen dissociation curve [1]. A new equilibrium is achieved with different oxygen saturation and less oxygen content and tension. Central venous (cv) oxygen saturation becomes, predictably, narrowly around 75%, depending on different regional metabolic rate and tissue oxygen uptake. PcvO$_2$ is 5.2, 6.4, 9.1, and 48 kPa when breathing air, oxygen, O$_2$ at 2 atmospheric pressure, and O$_2$ at 3 atmospheric pressure respectively [2].

On the other side, peripheral venous (pv) gases had been proven to correlate with arterial values short of PpvO$_2$ or SpvO$_2$ [3]. Presently, either PaO$_2$ or SpO$_2$ are the preferred monitors in clinical conditions including anaesthesia and PpvO$_2$ & SpvO$_2$ lack any similar value. SpO$_2$ detects O$_2$ lack, which is vital, however fails to monitor O$_2$ excess, which is toxic. We report an interesting finding of highly correlating PpvO$_2$ and SpvO$_2$ under anaesthesia and during early recovery (Table 1).

On a 21 years girl, with Peutz-Jegher’s Syndrome (PJS) [4], had undergone Laparotomy, multiple polyps excision, and limited resection. GA was conducted by administering, fentanyl 100 μgms, morphine 10 mg, propofol 150 mg, atracurium 30 mg, and then mechanical ventilation, with ET tube on air, oxygen of FiO$_2$ (0.51) and sevoflurane for 2 MAC. A chance venous sample to check haemoglobin level had SpvO$_2$ 96.5%, and PpvO$_2$ 30.87 kPa on FiO$_2$ 0.51 as shown in associated table. In recovery room, PpvO$_2$ was 11.92 kPa on face mask O$_2$, with assumed FiO$_2$ (0.35). Reexamining her heart and both ECG and transthoracic cardiac Echocardiography proved normal. In the second day, PpvO$_2$ was 5.55 kPa on room air with SpvO$_2$ 78.7%. Arterial samples were not taken intraoperatively nor the following days, however assumed PaO$_2$ values of 40 and 12 kPa respectively, were used in calculating regional O$_2$ uptake as shown in Table 2.

A thorough search was conducted of the MEDLINE database on NHS Evidence for the following topic: peripheral venous oxygen saturation PpvO$_2$ and PpvO$_2$ under anaesthesia. Human and English Language articles were the limitations used.

Vasodilatation is a recognized cause for arterialization of peripheral veins. This was exploited by heated-hand technique [5] and warming the hand up while applying transcutaneous carbon dioxide monitor.

Anaesthesia induced vasodilatation and partly metabolic depression could explain our case high SpvO$_2$, 99.5% with very high positive correlation to SpO$_2$ (Figure 1). Vasodilatation [6] could be potentiated in peripheries where continuous capillary network with throughfare channels prevail, leading to arterio-
venous shunt. O₂ uptake to O₂ content ratios during anaesthesia and 24 hours later, were 0.59% and 24.3% respectively, in marked contradistinction to the usual 15% metabolic depression under anaesthesia.

SpO₂, the standard continuous non-invasive oxygen saturation monitor, reflects the 98 percent of arterial oxygen content that is normally carried by haemoglobin, while the PO₂, invasively, measures dissolved O₂ in plasma. There is lack of literature evidence of favourable correlation between SpvO₂ and SpO₂ or established clinical place for using PpvO₂ or SpvO₂ as ventilation monitors under anaesthesia [7].

Arterio-venous malformation is not a recognized association of PJS. Besides, cardiovascular status didn’t show any abnormality on re-examination of our case and PpvO₂ normalized 24 hours later to become 5.55 kPa.

Venous PO₂ and therefore minimum tissue PO₂ increases at three atmospheric pressures only. This is because O₂ tissue requirement is then met by dissolved O₂ and saturation of venous blood remains close to 100% [1]. Unfortunately no arterial sample was taken, however in our young and healthy patient with FiO2 0.51, it could be assumed to be around 40 kPa. Then, with PpvO₂ of 30.87 kPa, venous oxygen uptake would come to 0.212 ml/dl. This was taken from soluble O₂, hence oxygen saturation was 99.5%, hence tissue would be drenched in oxygen. Then, according to PpvO₂, oxygen uptake was satisfied by dissolved form, which could be calculated to 0.212 ml/dl blood.

Hence, It would be difficult to assume that raising FiO₂ could cause such rise in venous oxygenation. However, if this were the case, then the question of oxygen toxicity as related to such abruptly high PpvO₂, and hence tissue PO₂, should be addressed. Also, exposing venous endothelium to excess oxygen could play a factor in developing deep venous thrombosis, should deep veins have the same high SpvO₂. Finally, during O₂ therapy, monitoring SpvO₂ or PpvO₂ could prove a reasonable option in order to avoid unnecessary excess oxygen with its potential toxicity.

It seems theoretically plausible that higher oxygenation of peripheral veins could be secondary to anaesthesia induced regional vasodilatation or metabolic depression or both. However this is not included in the recognized effects of general anaesthesia as stated in Nunn’s Applied Respiratory Physiology [1], seventh edition.

Vasodilatation of continuous capillary network, as in the case of hands’ and feet’s muscles, could lead to immense “steal” phenomenon with shutting down the whole capillary network in favor of central arteriolar-venular capillary vascular shunt leading to continuation of arteriolar blood flow, kinetically uninterrupted, to the venular side and causing peripheral PvO₂ to attain higher values. If this were the case, vasodilators and regional anaesthesia could possibly lead to such phenomenon as well [6, 8].

On the other side, it is difficult to assume that anaesthesia could, paradoxically, cause metaarteriolar vasospasm, allowing arterial flow directly through the capillary central arteriolar-venular vascular shunt leading to higher oxygenation of peripheral venous blood.

Unfortunately, we didn’t have arterial values to check on arterio-venous difference and tissue oxygen uptake in relation to variable FiO₂.

Regional metabolic depression, lack of peripheral tissue oxygen consumption and maintaining soluble oxygen level could possibly be another explanation. However absolute metabolic shut down is not usually associated with anaesthetics, and anyway neither lactate level, glucose, Anion gap were affected, nor body temperature was unduly dropped. However, It would be interesting to measure simultaneously peripheral veins, femoral, jugular, or mixed venous gases in order to look into differential metabolic depression.

Etiologically, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) could offer a valuable hint by detecting changes in blood flow through using the blood oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) contrast [9]. Near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) [10] alone or combined with fMRI [11], could display spectral absorption of the hand tissue and make some conclusions about its average tissue oxygenation saturation (StO₂), hence metabolic activity level.

Should this phenomenon of higher PpvO₂ prove consistent,
venous sampling could, then, suffice instead of the potentially complicated arterial one and continuous venous oxymetry could be an option. Also if it were technically possible to check non-pulse oxymetry directly or through frequent venostasis, then noninvasive comparison between pulse and non-pulse oxymetry would be feasible, with its potential repercussions on level of oxygenation, adequacy of anaesthesia, and possibly intraoperative awareness.

Table 2 PaO₂ values of 40 and 12 kPa respectively, were used in calculating regional O₂ uptake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gases / FiO₂</th>
<th>pv anaesthesia 0.51</th>
<th>a anaesthesia 0.51</th>
<th>pv RR 0.35</th>
<th>a RR 0.35</th>
<th>pv 24h 0.21</th>
<th>a 24h 0.21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO₂ kPa</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>32.1*</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>22*</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ sat %</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tHB gm/dl</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Hb gm/dl</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ uptake from HB ml/dl</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved O₂ ml/dl</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ CT ml/dl</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.273</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.734</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ uptake ml/dl &amp; %</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.594%</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>5.34%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PaO₂ kPa= FiO₂ x 63; Dissolved O₂ ml/dl = PO₂ x 0.0232 (very small to be affected by approximation) O₂ CT ml/dl = dissolved O₂*tHBx1.35, RR: Recovery room.

Finally, we wish to raise the attention to our unexpected and unexplained observation of high PpvO₂ under anaesthesia, that warrants serious appraisal that is necessary to define its actual weight and territorial distribution, as well as its life span. This would necessitate monitoring quite large number of patients and different accessible veins under different anaesthetics, including TIVA and regional anaesthetics, as well as various vasoactive agents.
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